# Fluoronaphthyridines and Quinolones as Antibacterial Agents. 2. Synthesis and Structure-Activity Relationships of New 1-tert-Butyl 7-Substituted Derivatives 
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Since the introduction of nalidixic acid ${ }^{1}$ as an antibacterial agent, a large number of 6 -fluoroquinolones have been synthesized, and among those, several analogues containing a piperazinyl group substituent in 7-position were found to be useful antibacterial agents such as norfloxacin, ${ }^{2}$ enoxacin, ${ }^{3}$ ciprofloxacin, ${ }^{4}$ ofloxacin, ${ }^{5}$ and difloxacin. ${ }^{6}$ These quinolones or naphthyridines were substituted differently in position 1 . Recently ${ }^{7}$ we have reported that, among the possible substituents at the 1 position of 1,4-dihydro-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(1-piperazinyl). quinoline or 1,8 -naphthyridine- 3 -carboxylic acids, a tertbutyl group (compounds 7a and 7b) improves antibacterial activity, especially against Gram-positive species. In this paper, we report the synthesis and antibacterial activity of 7 -(substituted amino)-6-fluoro-1-tert-butyl-1,4-dihydroquinoline and 1,8 -naphthyridine-3-carboxylic acid derivatives. It is known that aminopyrrolidine derivatives have better in vitro activity than the corresponding piperazine analogues, ${ }^{28}$ which are generally less toxic, more soluble, and more hydrophilic. It was very attractive to keep the enhancement of activity brought by the pyrrolidine group without the associated toxicity. To try to meet this goal, diazabicyclo rings, which could be regarded as pyrrolidine or piperazine derivatives respectively 2,4 or 2,5 disubstituted, were introduced at C -7 of compounds 1a and 1b (Figure 1).

## Chemistry

The ethyl esters 2-4 (Figure 2) were prepared as described previously ${ }^{7}$ and served as starting materials for the synthesis of 7 -amino-substituted quinolones and naphthyridines 7-34.

Substitution of the 7 -chlorine atom of carboxylate 2 (Scheme I, route A) with an appropriate amine in acetonitrile with a nonnucleophilic base such as 1,8 -diazabicyclo[5.4.0] undec-7-ene or an excess of amine yielded the expected 7 -amino derivative ethyl esters (step 1). Hydrolysis with sodium hydroxide followed by neutralization afforded the expected compound (Table I). When a trifluoroacetylated intermediate was used, this protecting group was removed in the final step (compounds 20b and 14b). In the case of a monobenzylated diamino intermediate, catalytic hydrogenolysis was performed before alkaline hydrolysis (compound 15b). Compounds 3 and 4 were first hydrolyzed to the acids 5 and 6 , and reaction with the appropriate amine in pyridine or in excess of amine yielded the corresponding quinolone acid (Scheme I , routes B and C ). In the case of the trifluoroacetylated

[^0]Scheme $\mathbf{I}^{\text {a }}$

${ }^{a}$ (a) $\mathrm{HNR}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{2}, \mathrm{DBU} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$; (b) 2 N NaOH ; (c) $\mathrm{HNR}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{2} /$ pyridine; (d) $\mathrm{HNR}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{2}$ in excess.
amino group an alkaline hydrolysis was necessary to obtain 20a.

[^1]

Figure 1. Structure of 7-(substituted amino)-1-tert-butyl-1,4-dihydro-6-fluoro-4-oxoquinoline-3-carboxylic acids (1a) and 7(substituted amino)-1-tert-butyl-1,4-dihydro-6-fluoro-4-oxo-1,8-naphthyridine-3-carboxylic acids (1b).


Figure 2. Structures of ethyl esters 2-4.
Route $B$ was preferred to route $C$ to avoid a substitution at C-6. ${ }^{8}$ The 3 -amino or 3 -(aminoalkyl) group of the pyrrolidines did not require protection as displacement occurred exclusively at the ring nitrogen as previously reported. ${ }^{16}$ Thus, 20b can be prepared in excellent yield by using 3 -aminopyrrolidine instead of 3 -[(trifluoroacetyl)aminolpyrrolidine. Structures and physical properties are displayed in Table I.

Piperazines and pyrrolidines that were not commercially available were synthesized by using reported methods, ${ }^{10-17}$ except for amines $40,41,46$, and 56. cis- and trans-3-fluoro-4-(aminomethyl)pyrrolidines ( 40 and 41) were prepared from 1-benzyl-3-hydroxy-4-(hydroxymethyl)pyrrolidine (35) ${ }^{16}$ with slight modification of a published method ${ }^{15}$ (Scheme II). Cis and trans diastereoisomers 38
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## Scheme II ${ }^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ (a) $\mathrm{MsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (b) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3} / \mathrm{DMF}, 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (c) DAST/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (d) $\mathrm{H}_{2}-\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOH} / 1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}$.

## Scheme III ${ }^{\circ}$


${ }^{a}$ (a) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}, \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) $\mathrm{TsCl} /$ pyridine; (c) $n B u_{4} \mathrm{NF} / \mathrm{THF}$; (d) DAST/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (e) $\mathrm{H}_{2}-\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 1$ NHCl .
and 39 were separated at the fluorinating step. Treatment of each isomer with hydrogen in the presence of a palladium catalyst resulted in simultaneous debenzylation and reduction of the azide function.
Synthesis of cis and trans-3-amino-4-fluoropyrrolidines ( 46 and 48 ) is summarized in Scheme III. Opening of the epoxide $42^{18}$ and tosylation of the resulting hydroxy derivative 43 were performed as described for the $N$-benzoyl analogue. ${ }^{19,20}$ Exchange of the tosyl group by tetrabutylammonium fluoride gave the cis compound 45. The only product isolated upon treatment of the hydroxy compound 43 with (diethylamino)sulfur trifluoride was the trans isomer 47 . Exposure of 45 and 47 to hydrogen in the presence of palladium catalyst resulted in reduction of the azide function, debenzylation, and formation of cis- and trans-3-amino-4-fluoropyrrolidines ( 46 and 48 ). NMR studies on intermediates from both sequences ( 43 to 45 , 43 to 47 ) demonstrate the cis and trans configurations based on assignments using NOE. The bicyclic amine 56,

[^2]Table I. Synthetic and Physical Data of the 1-tert-Butylquinolones (1a) and -naphthyridines (1b) ${ }^{\text {a }}$


Table I (Continued)

| compd | method | Y | $-\mathrm{NR}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{2}$ | ref | yield, \% ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | mp, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | formula ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22b | A | N |  | 13 | 46 | 230-240 | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| $23 \mathrm{~b}^{\text {d }}$ | A | N |  |  | 46 | >260 | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 24a | C | CH |  | 13 | 30 | >260 | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 24b | A | N |  |  | 71 | >260 | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 25a | B | CH |  | 14, 17 | 40 | 229-231 | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 25b | A | N |  |  | 34 | 254 | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| 26a | B | CH |  | 14, 17 | 10 |  | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 26b | A | N |  |  | 60 | 244-246 | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| 27b | A | N |  | 13 | 74 | >260 | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 28b | A | N |  | 13 | 78 | >260 | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 29b | A | N |  | 13 | 50 | >260 | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ |
| 30b | A | N |  | 13 | 38 | 219 | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 31b | A | N |  |  | 62 | >260 | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}$ |
| 32b | A | N |  |  | 51 | >260 | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 33b | A | N |  | 15 | 32 | 230 | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 34b | A | N |  | 15 | 44 | 208 | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |

[^3] given for the amine condensation. ${ }^{c} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, and N analyses were within $\pm 0.4 \%$ of the theoretical values, except as otherwise noted. ${ }^{d}$ This compound was obtained by reductive $N$-methylation of 21 b as described in ref 23 . ${ }^{e}$ See ref 7 .
enantiomer of the known amine $57,{ }^{12}$ was obtained from $49^{21}$ by a seven-step sequence of reactions (Scheme IV). Tosylation of 49 yielded the intermediate 50 , which was converted to the acetate 51 with tetraethylammonium acetate as described for an analogous conversion. ${ }^{21,22}$ Treatment of 51 with an excess of $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}$ gave the diol 52 . After tosylation, intramolecular cyclization of the resulting tritosyl derivative was performed by treatment with benzylamine. Deprotection of 54 by successive treatment with $\mathrm{HBr} / \mathrm{HOAc}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}-\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ afforded 56 as a hydrobromide derivative.

[^4]
## Biological Evaluation of Compounds

Compounds 7-34 were evaluated for in vitro antibacterial activity against a variety of organisms. The minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of these compounds against several Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria compared to norfloxacin and ciprofloxacin are displayed in Table II.

In the piperazine or bridged piperazine series the N alkylated or C-alkylated derivatives were usually less active than the unsubstituted compounds. However, compound 9 a was at least as active as 7 a , and generally C -alkylation provided more potent derivatives than N -alkylation. The potency was not related to the size of the substituent, and 3-phenyl derivatives 12a and 12b had similar activity compared to the 3 -methyl analogues $9 \mathbf{a}$ and $9 \mathbf{b}$. In con-

## Scheme IV ${ }^{\text {a }}$






56

${ }^{a}$ (a) $\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} /$ pyridine; (b) $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{4} \mathrm{~N}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{OAc}^{-}\right) / \mathrm{AcOEt}$; (c) $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4} / \mathrm{THF}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (d) TsCl, pyridine; (e) $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2} /$ toluene; (f) $\mathrm{HBr} / \mathrm{HOAc} ;(\mathrm{g}) \mathrm{H}_{2}-\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
trast, the fluoromethyl compounds 13 a and 13 b were approximately $2-4 \log 2$ dilutions less active than the corresponding methyl derivatives $9 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b}$.
As previously reported ${ }^{23}$ for other derivatives substituted at $\mathrm{N}-1$, aminopyrrolidine derivatives $20 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}$ were more active in vitro than the corresponding piperazines 7a-b and the (aminomethyl)pyrrolidine derivatives $25 a-b$ were less potent than $20 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}$.

N-Alkylation in the piperazine series always decreased in vitro activity while 3 -amino- 4 -methylpyrrolidine derivatives $\mathbf{2 7 b - 2 8 b}$ were more potent than 20 b . The trans isomer $\mathbf{2 8 b}$ was about $2-4 \log 2$ dilutions more potent than the cis isomer 27b, as active as ciprofloxacin against Gram-negative organisms and 4-8 times more active against Gram-positive bacteria. The ethyl analogues $29 \mathrm{~b}-30 \mathrm{~b}$ were somewhat less active than the corresponding methyl compounds $27 \mathrm{~b}-28 \mathrm{~b}$.
Interestingly, the 3 -fluoro- 4 -(aminomethyl) derivatives $\mathbf{3 3 b} \mathbf{- 3 4 b}$ were more potent than the corresponding unfluorinated derivative 25b, even though the corresponding 3 -fluoro- 4 -amino derivative pairs $31 \mathrm{~b}-32 \mathrm{~b}$ were less active than 20b.

The bridged piperazine series 14-19 showed no large difference in in vitro activity except for Pseudomonas aeruginosa, the best derivative being the naphthyridine chiral $R, R$ isomer 18 b . The $S, S$ antipode 19 b was about

[^5]4 times less active. The antimicrobial activity of N-1 fluorophenyl derivatives of the $S, S$ antipode was recently reported, ${ }^{24}$ but the $R, R$ isomer has not been studied previously.

In order to determine in vivo efficacy, several of these compounds were selected for evaluation in the mouse protection tests. Ciprofloxacin and norfloxacin were used as comparative active principles.

Results of oral data are shown for Staphylococcus aureus, P. aeruginosa, Escherichia coli, and Klebsiella pneumoniae (Table III). Compounds 18 b and 20b, two of the most potent members of this series in vitro, demonstrated the best activity in vivo. They were 3 times more active than ciprofloxacin against $S$. aureus, as active against $P$. aeruginosa and $E$. coli, and 2-3 times less active against K. pneumoniae. Most of the selected compounds were more active in vivo against $S$. aureus than ciprofloxacin. The fluoro aminomethyl derivatives $\mathbf{3 3 b}$ and 34b, which were very active in vitro, showed moderate in vivo activity when administered per os.

## Solubility and Pharmacokinetic Properties

The water solubility of selected members of the present series is shown in Table IV. Substitution on the piperazine or pyrrolidine rings with a methyl group or a fluorine atom improves solubility in comparison to the unsubstituted derivative. The 3,4 -trans-disubstituted pyrrolidine isomers are more soluble than the corresponding cis isomers ( $27 \mathrm{~b}-\mathbf{2 8 b}, \mathbf{3 3 b} \mathbf{- 3 4 b}$ ).

Compound 20b, one of the most active compounds in vitro and in vivo, exhibits poor water solubility as reported for derivatives with the 3 -aminopyrrolidine group. ${ }^{25}$ Water solubility is apparently an important property with potential influence on toxicity.

Acute toxicity data in mice are displayed in Table III for some selected compounds. Pyrrolidine derivatives appear to be more toxic than piperazine or bridged piperazine compounds; 20b was the compound with lowest $\mathrm{LD}_{50}$ values of the series, a fact that might be related to the low water solubility. The compounds with highest $\mathrm{LD}_{50}$ values were $\mathbf{1 8 b}$ and ciprofloxacin.

As solubility is a property that might be associated with the extent and rate of oral absorption of a compound as well as tissue distribution properties, pharmacokinetic data of several compounds after oral administration ( $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) to dogs are displayed in Table V in comparison with ciprofloxacin. All compounds of the present series tested have higher $C_{\text {max }}$ values and larger areas under the plasma level curves. Urinary recoveries for pyrrolidine derivatives are, however, low, suggesting that hepatic clearance was more important than renal clearance. The candidate with most favorable properties, $\mathbf{1 8 b}$, was studied more extensively in dogs in comparison to ciprofloxacin after administration of an oral dose of $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Figure 3).

The peak plasma concentration of $\mathbf{1 8 b}$ appears at least 2 times higher than for ciprofloxacin with an increase of a factor of 3 in the area under the plasma level-time curve. Plasma concentrations of $0.6 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL} \mathrm{18b}$ measured 24 h after administration compared favorably with values of 0.1 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ determined for ciprofloxacin.

## Summary of Results

These investigations confirm that quinolone or naphthyridine derivatives substituted by a tert-butyl group on

[^6]Table II. In Vitro Antibacterial Activity (MIC, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ )

| compound | S. aureus Smith A 9537 | $E$. faecalis A 9808 | E. faecium A 24885 | $\begin{gathered} \text { E. } \\ \text { coli } \\ \text { A } 15119 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline K . \\ \text { pneumoniae } \\ \text { A } 9664 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Enterobacter cloacae A 9656 | Morganella morganii A 15153 | S. marcescens A 20019 | $\begin{gathered} P . \\ \text { aeruginosa } \\ \text { A } 9843 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7a | 0.06 | 1 | 4 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 7b | 0.06 | 0.5 | 8 | 0.015 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 1 |
| 8 a | 0.03 | 4 | 16 | 0.03 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 1 | 0.5 |
| 8b | 0.06 | 2 | 0.5 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.5 | 0.25 | 1 |
| 9 a | 0.06 | 1 | 4 | 0.008 | 0.015 | 0.03 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1 |
| 9 b | 0.13 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.5 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 8 |
| 10a | 0.25 | 2 | 8 | 0.13 | 0.5 | 0.13 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| 11 b | 0.13 | 1 | 4 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| 12a | 0.06 | 0.5 | 4 | 0.06 | 0.25 | 0.13 | 0.5 | 2 | 1 |
| 12b | 0.03 | 1 | 8 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| 13a | 0.13 | 2 | 8 | 0.25 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.5 | 1 | 4 |
| 13b | 0.13 | 4 | 16 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| 14b | 0.06 | 1 | 4 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | 4 |
| 15b | 0.06 | 0.5 | 4 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2 |
| 16a | 0.13 | 2 | 8 | 0.5 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 1 | 0.5 | 4 |
| 16b | 0.25 | 2 | 16 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 17a | 0.13 | 1 | 4 | 0.5 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 17b | 0.03 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 18a | 0.5 | 2 | 4 | 0.5 | 0.13 | 0.25 | 2 | 0.25 | 0.5 |
| 18b | 0.06 | 0.13 | 1 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.25 | 0.06 | 0.25 |
| 19a | 0.25 | 4 | 16 | 2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 19b | 0.13 | 1 | 4 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.25 | 0.13 | 2 | 0.5 |
| 20a | 0.03 | 0.25 | 2 | 0.06 | 0.25 | 0.13 | 0.5 | 1 | 1 |
| 20b | 0.015 | 0.25 | 1 | 0.13 | 0.25 | 0.13 | 1 | 0.25 | 2 |
| 21b | 0.06 | 0.5 | 8 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 |
| 22b | 0.06 | 0.13 | 4 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.25 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| 23b | 0.03 | 0.5 | 8 | 0.25 | 0.13 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 1 |
| 24a | 0.06 | 0.5 | 4 | 0.5 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 24b | 0.015 | 0.5 | 4 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2 |
| 25a | 0.03 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 2 | 2 | 0.5 |
| 25b | 0.03 | 0.25 | 2 | 0.5 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 26a | 0.06 | 0.5 | 4 | 0.25 | 1 | 0.5 | 2 | 8 | 8 |
| 26b | 0.13 | 2 | 8 | 0.13 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 27b | 0.015 | 0.13 | 1 | 0.25 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.5 | 0.25 | 0.5 |
| 28b | 0.008 | 0.06 | 0.5 | 0.015 | 0.06 | 0.015 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.25 |
| 29b | 0.015 | 0.25 | 2 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1 |
| 30b | 0.015 | 0.13 | 2 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 1 |
| 31b | 0.015 | 0.5 | 4 | 0.25 | 0.06 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2 |
| 32b | 0.003 | 0.25 | 2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2 |
| 33b | 0.015 | 0.13 | 1 | 0.06 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 34b | 0.004 | 0.03 | 0.25 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.5 |
| norfloxacin | 0.25 | 4 | 8 | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.015 | 0.13 | 0.5 |
| ciprofloxacin | 0.13 | 0.5 | 4 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.008 | 0.015 | 0.3 | 0.13 |

Table III. Efficacy on Systematic Infections and Acute Toxicity with Oral Administration in Mice

| compound | $\mathrm{PD}_{50}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{po}$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{LD}_{50}{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline S . \\ \text { aureus } \\ \text { A } 9537 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P . \\ \text { aeruginosa } \\ \text { A } 9843 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} E . \\ \text { coli } \\ \text { A } 15119 \end{gathered}$ | K.pneumoniaeA 9664 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | iv | po |
| norfloxacin | 1.9 (0.25) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 12.6 (0.5) | 13 (0.13) | 8 (0.03) |  |  |
| ciprofloxacin | 3.4 (0.13) | 2.6 (0.13) | 1 (0.03) | 1.5 (0.03) | 273 | 5000 |
| 7a | 2.4 (0.06) | 29 (0.5) | 21.7 (0.06) | 10.3 (0,13) | 250 | 2500 |
| 7b | 1.1 (0.06) | 10.9 (1) | 4.7 (0.015) | 4.8 (0.13) | 250 | 2500 |
| 9 a | 5.4 (0.06) | 25 (1) | $\mathrm{NT}^{\text {d }}$ | 5.4 (0.015) |  |  |
| 18b | 1.6 (0.06) | 4.7 (0.25) | 1.8 (0.06) | 4.9 (0.06) | 303 | 5000 |
| 19a | 10 (0.25) | 23 (1) | NT | NT |  |  |
| 19b | 0.5 (0.13) | 14 (0.5) | 4 (0.13) | 10.8 (0.13) |  |  |
| 20a | 8.2 (0.03) | 19.9 (1) | 5.4 (0.06) | 23 (0.25) |  |  |
| 20 b | 1.4 (0.015) | 3.5 (2) | 1.4 (0.13) | 2.1 (0.25) | 190 | 800 |
| 27 b | 1.6 (0.015) | 9.0 (0.5) | 2.4 (0.25) | 4.1 (0.03) | 113 | 1250 |
| 28 b | 1.6 (0.008) | 6.3 (0.25) | 2.4 (0.015) | 6.3 (0.06) | 131 | 2100 |
| 33b | 4.5 (0.015) | 18 (0.5) | 18 (0.06) | 18 (0.25) |  |  |
| 34b | 0.8 (0.004) | 18 (0.5) | NT | NT |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Dose to protect $50 \%$ of mice from lethal infection po. ${ }^{b}$ See Experimental Section. ${ }^{c}$ Value in parentheses is the MIC in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL} .{ }^{d}$ Not tested.
$\mathrm{N}-1$ exhibit very good in vitro and in vivo activity against Gram-negative and especially Gram-positive organisms. Compound 18b demonstrates the best overall microbiological profile associated with a low toxicity and promising pharmacokinetic properties. Therefore, BMY 40062 (18b),
which is substituted at $\mathrm{C}-7$ by a diazabicyclo heptane ring, shares the very good in vitro activity usually brought by the aminopyrrolidine group and the low toxicity and good pharmacokinetic profile of C-7 piperazine derivatives. BMY 40062 was selected for clinical evaluation. ${ }^{26}$

Table IV. Aqueous Solubility of Selected Compounds

| compound | solubility, ${ }^{a}$ <br> $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ | compound | solubility, ${ }^{a}$ <br> $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ciprofloxacin | 0.07 | $\mathbf{2 0 a}$ | 0.07 |
| 7a | 0.13 | $\mathbf{2 0 b}$ | 0.05 |
| 7b | 0.82 | $\mathbf{2 7 b}$ | 0.02 |
| 9a | 1.56 | $\mathbf{2 8 b}$ | 0.22 |
| 18a | 0.23 | $\mathbf{3 3 b}$ | 0.48 |
| 18b | 0.08 | $\mathbf{3 4 b}$ | 1.36 |

${ }^{a}$ Solubility determined at pH iso in water.
Table V. Pharmacokinetic Properties of Selected Compounds after Oral Administration in $\operatorname{Dog}^{a}(25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$

| compound | $C_{\max }$, <br> $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ | $t_{1 / 2}, \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathrm{AUC},{ }^{b}$ <br> $\mu \mathrm{~g} /(\mathrm{mL} \cdot \mathrm{h})$ | $\mathrm{UR},^{\mathrm{c}} \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{7 b}$ | 5.8 | 4.8 | 50 | 12 |
| 18b | 5.6 | 4.5 | 55 | 20 |
| 20b | 4.5 | 4.5 | $40-50$ | $8-10$ |
| 27b | 6.5 | 4 | 42 | 3 |
| 28b | 4.2 | 4.5 | 43 | 2 |
| ciprofloxacin | 3 | 3.5 | 20 | 17 |

${ }^{a}$ See Experimental Section. ${ }^{b}$ Area under the concentration/ time, $\mu \mathrm{g} /(\mathrm{mL} \cdot \mathrm{h}) . \quad{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Urinary recovery, percent of administrated dose.


Figure 3. Mean plasma concentrations of $18 \mathrm{~b}(\mathrm{~A})$ and ciprofloxacin ( $\mathbf{m}$ ) after oral administration of $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ to dogs in solution (mean values of pooled studies; $n=8$ and 12).

## Experimental Section

General Methods. Unless otherwise noted, materials were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. Melting points were taken in a Büchi 510 capillary apparatus and are uncorrected. Elemental analysis was performed by the Microanalytical Laboratory, operated by the Bristol-Myers Analytical Department. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on
(26) (a) Bouzard, D.; Di Cesare, P.; Essiz, M.; Jacquet, J. P.; Remuzon, P.; Bouzard, D.; Weber, A.; Oki, T.; Masuyoshi, P. Abstracts of Papers, 28th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, Los Angeles, CA, Oct 23-26, 1988; Abstract 962. (b) Fung-Tomc, J.; Lincourt, W.; Thater, C.; Kolek, B.; Huczko, E.; Denbleyker, K.; Kessler, R. E. Ibid. 1985, Abstract 963. (c) Desiderio, G.; Leonard, G.; Lamb, L.; Brutkiewicz, R.; Hibbard, J.; Beaudoin, B.; Tomatsu, K.; Hirano, M.; Oki, T.; Kessler, R. E. Ibid. 1985, Abstract 964. (d) Kiechel, J. R.; Becamel, C.; Bouzard, D.; Dauphin, J. F.; Frossard, J.; Lalitte, F.; Perraud, J. Ibid. 1988, Abstract 965.
a Perkin-Elmer Model 783 infrared spectrometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were determined on a Brucker AC 200 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are expressed in $\delta$ (ppm) relative to internal tetramethylsilane. Flash column chromatography was done with Merck silica gel 60, 70-230 mesh ASTM. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed with Merck silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ TLC plates, and compound visualization was effected with iodine or a UV lamp. Optical rotations were measured in a 1-dm cell with a Perkin-Elmer Model 241 polarimeter.

Microbiology. General Procedures. In Vitro Studies. The in vitro antibacterial activity was studied by a side-by-side comparison with norfloxacin and ciprofloxacin and determined by the serial 2 -fold dilution technique using nutrient broth. The inoculum size was adjusted to $10^{6} \mathrm{cfu} / \mathrm{mL}$, and the concentration of the compounds ranged from 0.0005 to $250 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was defined as the lowest concentration of the compound that prevents visible growth of bacteria after incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h .

Acute Toxicity on Oral Administration to Mice. A solution of each test compound in sterile water was administered orally to OF1 strain female Swiss mice ( $18-25 \mathrm{~g}$ body weight, five per group). Seven days later, $\mathrm{LD}_{50}$ values were determined by using the Karber and Behrens method. ${ }^{27}$

Pharmacokinetic Studies-General Procedure. Plasma and urine levels in dogs were determined by microbiological assay. Compounds were administered in solution by oral gavage. Blood samples were obtained at $0.5,1,1.5,2,4,6,8$, and 24 h after dosing. Plasma was separated by centrifugation and frozen until tested. Urine was collected $0-4,4-8$, and $8-24 \mathrm{~h}$ after dosing and frozen until analysis. Plasma levels and urinary excretion of test compounds were determined by using the agar plates system. The test organism was Bacillus subtilis ATCC 6633, and the standard used was the test compound itself.

Solubility Studies-General Procedure. A known excess weight of the compound was shaken overnight with a known volume of water for injection. The contents were filtered, and the clear filtrate was analyzed after appropriate dilution by HPLC (UV absorbance detection).

Preparation of Amines. trans-4-Fluoro-3-(aminomethyl) pyrrolidine Dihydrochloride (41). To a solution of 0.193 g ( 0.82 mmol ) of $39^{15}$ and 0.85 mL of aqueous 1 N hydrochloric acid in 10 mL of ethanol was added 0.2 g of $10 \%$ palladium on carbon. The mixture was placed under hydrogen. After 1.5 h there was added 0.2 g more of the catalyst and 0.85 mL of aqueous 1 N hydrochloric acid, and the mixture was hydrogenized again for 1.5 h . The catalyst was removed by filtration through Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated to afford $0.130 \mathrm{~g}(83 \%$ yield) of 41 as a hygroscopic solid, which was used without further purification. An analytical sample was prepared by recrystallization from ethanol: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.87$ (broad, 5 H , exchangeable), $5.46\left(\mathrm{~m},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{HF}}=53 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}\right), 3.6-2.7(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H})$.
cis-4-Fluoro-3-(aminomethyl)pyrrolidine Dihydrochloride (40). An analogous procedure described as above was used to convert 38 to 40 ( $70 \%$ yield). An analytical sample was prepared by recrystallization from ethanol: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.08$, 8.48 (broad, 5 H , exchangeable), $5.46\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{HF}}=53 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}\right.$ ), $3.7-2.8(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H})$.
trans-3-Azido-4-hydroxy-1-benzylpyrrolidine (43). A mixture of $5.21 \mathrm{~g}(29.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $42,{ }^{18} 2.12 \mathrm{~g}(39.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, 11.62 g ( 178.7 mmol ) of $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}, 80 \mathrm{~mL}$ of DMF, and 9.5 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was warmed on a steam bath for 5 h . The mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The extract was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated to dryness in vacuo leaving 5.9 g of 43 as an oil. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography with 70:30 ethyl acetate-hexane as the eluant to obtain 4.75 g ( $73 \%$ yield) of pure 43 as an oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 7.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 5.39(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH})$, $4.06\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}\right), 3.65\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right), 3.57\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, 3-2.2 (broad m, $4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ); IR (neat) $3360,2940,2810,2110$, $1260 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
(27) Behrens, B.; Karber, G. Arch. Exp. Pathol. Pharm. 1935, 177, 379.
(28) Sanchez, J. P.; Domagala, J. M.; Hagen, S. E.; Heifetz, C. L.; Hutt, M. P.; Nichols, J. B.; Trehan, A. K. J. Med. Chem. 1988, 31, 983.
trans-3-Azido-4-[(4-tolylsulfonyl)oxy]-1-benzylpyrrolidine (44). To a solution of 3.74 g ( 17.1 mmol ) of 43 in 38 mL of pyridine cooled at $+5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $4.90 \mathrm{~g}(25.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 4 -toluenesulfonyl chloride. The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h at $+5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The pyridine was removed under reduced pressure. The mixture was partitioned between $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and a $10 \%$ aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution. The organic layer was washed with water, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated with a rotary evaporator to obtain 6.33 g of 44 as an oil. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography with $20: 80$ ethyl acetate-hexane as the eluant to obtain $4.72 \mathrm{~g}\left(74 \%\right.$ yield) of pure 44 as an oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $7.90-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.70\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}\right), 4.16(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.57\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right.$ ), 2.35 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3-2.2 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ).
trans-3-Azido-4-fluoro-1-benzylpyrrolidine (47). Under a nitrogen atmosphere, to a solution of $1 \mathrm{~g}(4.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 43 in 4 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ cooled at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of 1.53 $\mathrm{g}(9.47 \mathrm{mmol})$ of DAST in 4 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ dropwise through an addition funnel. After being stirred for 15 min at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the mixture was warmed to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, poured into a $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution, and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layer was separated, washed with water, dried, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography with 99:1 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ as the eluant to obtain 0.305 g ( $30 \%$ yield) of pure 47: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.3\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 5.06\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{HF}}\right.$ $\left.=53 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}\right), 4.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HF}}=21 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right), 3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 3.1-2.4\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$.
trans-3-Amino-4-fluoropyrrolidine Dihydrochloride (48). To a solution of $0.300 \mathrm{~g}(1.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $47 \mathrm{and} 1.36 \mathrm{~mL}(1.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ of aqueous 1 N hydrochloric acid in 10 mL of ethanol was added 0.150 g of $10 \%$ palladium on carbon. The mixture was placed under hydrogen. After $2 \mathrm{~h}, 1.36 \mathrm{~mL}(1.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 1 N hydrochloric acid was added, the catalyst was removed by filtration through Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated with a rotary evaporator to afford 0.192 g ( $80 \%$ yield) of 48 as a hygroscopic solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.43$ (broad, 5 H , exchangeable), $5.5\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{HF}}=50 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}\right), 4.15-3.25\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$, $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ).
cis-3-Azido-4-fluoro-1-benzylpyrrolidine (45). A solution of $4.72 \mathrm{~g}(12.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 44 in 78 mL of 1 M tetra- $n$-butylammonium fluoride in THF was heated under reflux under nitrogen overnight. The reaction mixture was concentrated and the residue was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, washed with water, dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography with $25: 75$ ethyl acetate-hexane as the eluant to obtain 1.4 g ( $50 \%$ yield) of pure 45 as an oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.25\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{HF}}=55 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $3.94\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 3.1-2.65\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$.
cis-3-Amino-4-fluoropyrrolidine Dihydrochloride (49). The procedure described for the preparation of compound 48 was used to convert 45 to 49 , which was isolated as a dihydro-chloride-hygroscopic solid crystallized from ethanol ( $85 \%$ yield): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.5$ (broad m, 5 H , exchangeable), 5.44 (m, $\left.1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{HF}}=53 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}\right), 3.93\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right), 3.75-3.1(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ).
allo-1-(4-Tolylsulfonyl)-4-[[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]-oxy]-D-proline Ethyl Ester (50). To a cold solution of 7.4 g ( 37.7 mmol ) of allo-4-hydroxy-D-proline ethyl ester hydrochloride (49) ${ }^{21}$ and 3.81 g of triethylamine ( 37.7 mmol ) in pyridine ( 74 mL ) at $-5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added portionwise $15.82 \mathrm{~g}(83 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 4 -toluenesulfonyl chloride. The cold solution was stirred 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stored overnight in the refrigerator. Then the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 h and poured into ice water ( 55 mL ). The precipitate was filtered, washed with water, and dried to give $13.1 \mathrm{~g}(74.2 \%)$ of the titled compound: $\mathrm{mp} 125^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}+26.48^{\circ}$ $\left(c=2, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.8-7.3(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 5.0 $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}\right), 4.47\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right), 4.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.33$ (broad s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ), $2.39\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 2.3-2\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, 1.11 (m, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ).

4-(Acetyloxy)-1-[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]-D-proline Ethyl Ester (51). To 35 mL of toluene were added $2 \mathrm{~g}(15 \mathrm{mmol})$ of anhydrous tetramethylammonium acetate and 5.48 g (11.7 $\mathrm{mmol})$ of ethyl ester 50 under nitrogen. The mixture was refluxed overnight and then cooled. The organic layer was washed with water $(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated to dryness. The residue ( 4 g ) was taken up with 8
mL of 2 -propanol. The mixture was stirred for 30 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the resulting crystalline product was collected and dried under reduced pressure to give $3.3 \mathrm{~g}(74 \%)$ of the titled compound: mp $81^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+82^{\circ}\left(c=2, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 7.8-7.4$ (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}\right), 4.15\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right), 3.75-3.30$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $2.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 2.4-2.0\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ ), 1.53 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}$ ), $1.21\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ ).

4-Hydroxy-1-(4-tolylsulfonyl)-D-prolinol (52). To an ice-cold solution of $31.5 \mathrm{~g}(88.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 4 -(acetyloxy)-1-(4-tolyl-sulfonyl)-D-proline ethyl ester (51) in 315 mL of THF was added $3.37 \mathrm{~g}(177 \mathrm{mmol})$ of lithium borohydride. The mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 h and then kept at a temperature below $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the pH adjusted to 3 with 30 mL of 6 N hydrochloric acid. The solution was concentrated, and the residue was tritured with 250 mL of water to give a crystalline solid. The white precipitate was filtered, washed with cold water, and dried under reduced pressure to give 22 g ( $91 \%$ yield) of the titled compound: $\mathrm{mp} 127^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}+36.6^{\circ}$ ( $c=1.0$, acetone) ; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.8-7.3(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 6.55 (broad $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, exchangeable), 4.76 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, exchangeable), $4.19\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}\right), 3.7-2.8(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 1.93$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ), $1.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right.$ ).
(2R,4S)-1-(4-Tolylsulfonyl)-2-[[(4-tolylsulfonyl)oxy]-methyl]-4-[(4-tolylsulfonyl)oxy]pyrrolidine (53). To an ice-cold solution of $21.92 \mathrm{~g}(80.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $(2 R, 4 S)-1-(4-$ tolyl-sulfonyl)-2-(hydroxymethyl)-4-hydroxypyrrolidine (52) in 100 mL of pyridine were added $53.92 \mathrm{~g}(282 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 4 -toluylsulfonyl chloride in one portion. The temperature rose to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was cooled at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and kept for 2 h at this temperature and then at room temperature overnight. The mixture was poured into 0.5 L of 2 N hydrochloric acid. After cooling, a precipitate was collected, washed with cold water, and dried under reduced pressure. This precipitate was taken up with 100 mL of ethanol, filtered, washed with cold ethanol, and dried under reduced pressure to give $40.6 \mathrm{~g}(86 \%)$ of the titled compound: mp 134 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]{ }^{25} \mathrm{D}+57.13^{\circ}\left(c=1.9\right.$, acetone) $;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta$ 7.9-7.3 ( $\mathrm{m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.89\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}\right), 4.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$, $3.59\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right), 3.53-3.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ar}\right)$, 2.35 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), $1.97-1.93$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}$ ).
( $1 \boldsymbol{R}, 4 \boldsymbol{R}$ )-2-(4-Tolylsulfonyl)-5-(phenylmethyl)-2,5-diazabicyclo[2.2.1] heptane (54). A mixture of $26.97 \mathrm{~g}(46.53 \mathrm{mmol})$ of ( $2 R, 4 S$ )-1-(4-tolylsulfonyl)-2-[[(4-tolylsulfonyl) oxy]methyl]4 -[(4-tolylsulfonyl)oxy]pyrrolidine (53) and 16.40 g ( 153 mmol ) of benzylamine in 150 mL of toluene was heated under reflux. After 6 h 1 g of benzylamine was added and the reflux continued for 3 h . The mixture was cooled and filtered and the residue was washed with 50 mL of toluene. The combined organic layers were evaporated to dryness, and the resulting solid was taken up with 20 mL of 2 -propanol. After cooling, the product was filtered, washed with cold 2-propanol, and dried under reduced pressure to give 14.34 g of the titled compound ( $90 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp} 124^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}$ $-15.72^{\circ}\left(c=1.6\right.$, acetone); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.9-7.4$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 4$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts}$ ), $7.5-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 1.60\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 0.88$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ ).
( $1 R, 4 R$ )-5-(Phenylmethyl)-2,5-diazabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane Dihydrobromide (55). To a hot solution of 28.5 mL of hydrobromic acid $33 \%$ in acetic acid and 140 mL of acetic acid at 70 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $14.28 \mathrm{~g}(41.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ of ( $1 R, 4 R$ )-2-( 4 -toluyl-sulfonyl)-5-(phenylmethyl)-2,5-diazabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane (54). The solution was stirred for 12 h . The resulting suspension was cooled $\left(18-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The precipitate was filtered, washed with diisopropyl ether, and dried at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under reduced pressure to give $12.94 \mathrm{~g}(89 \%)$ of the titled compound: $\mathrm{mp} 276{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}$ $-0.38^{\circ}\left(c=1, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ~ \delta 7.61-7.46$ (m, $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.48-4.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}, 2 \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right.$ ), 3.69-3.36 (m, 4 $\left.\mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.11-2.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$.
( $1 R, 4 R$ )-2,5-Diazabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane Dihydrobromide (56). A suspension of $7.6 \mathrm{~g}(21.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $(1 R, 4 R)$ - 5 -(phenyl-methyl)-2,5-diazabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane dihydrobromide (55) and 3.7 g of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}$ on C in 120 mL of water was hydrogenated at atmospheric pressure at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was completed within 8 h . The catalyst was filtered off, and the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was taken up with ethanol, and the resulting precipitate was filtered to give $5.14 \mathrm{~g}(91 \%)$ of the titled compound: $\operatorname{mp} 285^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}-19.83^{\circ}(c=1.2,0.1 \mathrm{~N}$ $\mathrm{HCl}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.30$ (broad m. 4 H , exchangeable),
4.48 (broad m, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right), 3.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.04$ (broad s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ).

General Procedure for the Preparation of Naphthyridines and Quinolones (Scheme I). Method A. 7-[(1R,4R)-2,5-Diazabicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl]-1-(1,1-dimethylethyl)-1,4-dihydro-6-fluoro-4-oxo-1,8-naphthyridine-3-carboxylic Acid Methanesulfonate (18b). To a stirred mixture of $8.63 \mathrm{~g}(26.4$ mmol ) of 2 and $8.92 \mathrm{~g}(34.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ of ( $1 R, 4 R$ )-2,5-diazabicyclo[2.2.1] heptane dihydrobromide in 150 mL of acetonitrile was added $16 \mathrm{~g}(105 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 1,8 -diazabicyclo[ 5.4 .0 ]undec-7-ene. After complete addition, the reaction mixture was heated at $70-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . After cooling, the precipitate was filtered to give 9.46 $\mathrm{g}(92 \%)$ of ethyl ester, $\mathrm{mp} 248^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A suspension of $4.58 \mathrm{~g}(11.8$ mmol ) of the crude ethyl ester in $47.2 \mathrm{~mL}(47.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 1 N NaOH was heated under reflux for 30 min . The solution was cooled to $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the pH was adjusted to 7.5 with 7.6 mL of 6 N HCl . The precipitate was filtered and dried to give $7.7 \mathrm{~g}(99 \%)$ of the expected compound purified as a methanesulfonate: mp $260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR 1730, $1635 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right), 8.12\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}\right), 5.12\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}\right), 4.55$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.96 (broad m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ), 3.44 (broad m, 2 H , $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ), $2.33\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right.$ ), $2.10\left(\right.$ broad m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ), 1.8 (s, $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{tBu}) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+158.5^{\circ}(c=0.25,0.1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl})$.

7-(3-Amino-1-pyrrolidinyl)-1-(1,1-dimethylethyl)-1,4-di-hydro-6-fluoro-4-0xo-1,8-naphthyridine-3-carboxylic Acid Methanesulfonate (20b). To a stirred mixture of 34.41 g (105 mmol ) of 2 and $21.75 \mathrm{~g}(137 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 3 -aminopyrrolidine ${ }^{14}$ in 500 mL of acetonitrile was added $63.41 \mathrm{~g}(417 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 1,8 -diaza-bicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene. The solution was heated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The suspension was cooled and the precipitate was filtered and washed with acetonitrile to give $35.33 \mathrm{~g}(89 \%)$ of the titled compound, $\mathrm{mp} 256^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which was used without further purification. Hydrolysis of $12 \mathrm{~g}(32 \mathrm{mmol})$ of the above product was carried out in 128 mL of 1 N NaOH at reflux for 15 min . The solution was cooled and adjusted to pH 6.5 with 2 N HCl . The precipitate was filtered and washed with water, dried, and purified as a methanesulfonate, to give $11.4 \mathrm{~g}(78 \%)$ of the titled compound: mp $258^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $1728,1640,1605 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right), 8.08\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}\right), 3.75-4.2$ (broad $\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$, pyrrolidine), $2.31\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right.$ ), 2.21-2.4 (broad m, 2 H , pyrrolidine), 1.88 (s, $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{tBu}$ ).

Method B. 7-(3-Amino-1-pyrrolidinyl)-1-(1,1-dimethyl-ethyl)-1,4-dihydro-6-fluoro-4-ox0-3-quinolinecarboxylic Acid Hydrochloride (20a). To a stirred mixture of $0.6 \mathrm{~g}(2.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 3 and 0.7 g ( 3.2 mmol ) of 3 -[(trifluoroacetyl)amino]pyrrolidine in 3 mL of pyridine was added $1.3 \mathrm{~mL}(8.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 1,8 -diazabicyclo[5.4.0] undec-7-ene. The solution was stirred for 30 min at room temperature, evaporated to dryness, and poured into water. The pH was adjusted to 7.5 with 1 N hydrochloric acid. The precipitate was filtered to give $0.430 \mathrm{~g}(45 \%)$ of trifluoracetyl intermediate, $\mathrm{mp} 200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A suspension of $0.409 \mathrm{~g}(0.92 \mathrm{mmol})$ of the above compound into 2 mL of 1 N NaOH was refluxed 2 h. The solution was cooled and the pH was adjusted to 7.5 with $10 \%$ aqueous acetic acid. The precipitate was filtered, washed with water, dried, and purified as a hydrochloride in ethanol to give $0.200 \mathrm{~g}(56 \%)$ of the titled compound: $\mathrm{mp} 260^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR 1690 , $1635,1605 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.67\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right), 7.90$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.06\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}\right.$ ), $3.60-4.04$ (broad m, 5 H , pyrrolidine), 2.05-2.5 (broad m, 2 H , pyrrolidine), 1.87 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}-\mathrm{Bu}$ ).

7-[3-(Fluoromethyl)-4-piperaziny1]-1-(1,1-dimethyl-ethyl)-1,4-dihydro-6-fluoro-4-oxo-3-quinolinecarboxylic Acid (13a). To a mixture of $0.610 \mathrm{~g}(2.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 3 and $0.500 \mathrm{~g}(2.17$ mmol ) of 3-(fluoromethyl)piperazine dihydrochloride in 10 mL of acetonitrile was added 1.2 g ( 7.85 mmol ) of 1,8 -diazabicyclo-
[5.4.0]undec-7-ene. The solution was heated under reflux for 2 $h$, cooled, and evaporated to dryness. The residue was taken up in ethyl acetate and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness. The crude product was crystallized in 2-propanol to give 0.31 g of the titled compound ( $38 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp} 190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $3340,1720,1630,1610$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.91$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.94(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $\left.=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}\right), 7.45\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}\right), 4.43$ (dd, $J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}$ $\left.=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\mathrm{HF}}=49 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}\right), 2.6-3.7$ (broad m, 7 H , piperazine), 1.87 (s, $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{tBu}$ ).

Method C. 7-(4-Methyl-1-piperazinyl)-1-(1,1-dimethyl-ethyl)-1,4-dihydro-6-fluoro-4-oxo-3-quinolinecarboxylic Acid Hydrochloride (8a). A mixture of 1.4 g ( 4.7 mmol ) of 4 and 2.08 $\mathrm{mL}(18.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $N$-methylpiperazine was heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen for 18 h . The mixture was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in water, and the solution was adjusted to pH 7.0 with 5 N hydrochloric acid. The precipitate was filtered and washed with water to give 0.63 g of a crude material, which was purified as a hydrochloride in ethanol to give $0.54 \mathrm{~g}(29 \%)$ of the titled compound: $m p 260^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $3600,3460,1745 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right.$ ), $8.55\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}\right), 7.48\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $3.90-3.20\left(\right.$ broad m, $8 \mathrm{H}, 4 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $2.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right.$ ), 1.83 (s, $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{tBu}$ ).
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